

**To:** Nandi, Romell[Nandi.Romell@epa.gov]  
**Cc:** Ziegler, Sam[Ziegler.Sam@epa.gov]; Tom Ford[tford@santamonicabay.org]; Grace Lee[glee@santamonicabay.org]; Vicki Gambale[vgambale@santamonicabay.org]; Georgia Tunioli[gtunioli@santamonicabay.org]  
**From:** Yelensky, Erica  
**Sent:** Mon 6/19/2017 9:50:19 PM  
**Subject:** RE: Santa Monica Bay NEP TFW Integration Proposal - HQ will fund at the \$25,000 level ...  
SMBNEP Source Reduction Proposal 6.13.2017 Final 25kTF.docx

Hi Romell,

Thank you again for selecting the Santa Monica Bay Clean Bay Certified Restaurant Source Reduction Program. Please see the attached revised proposal for \$25,000.

Please let us know if you have any questions.

Best,

Erica

Erica Yelensky

415-972-3021

Watersheds Office, US EPA

75 Hawthorne San Francisco 94105

I use voice-recognition software. Please excuse creative grammar that may result from this.

**From:** Nandi, Romell  
**Sent:** Monday, June 12, 2017 1:20 PM  
**To:** Yelensky, Erica <Yelensky.Erica@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** Ziegler, Sam <Ziegler.Sam@epa.gov>; Tom Ford <tford@santamonicabay.org>; Grace Lee <glee@santamonicabay.org>  
**Subject:** Santa Monica Bay NEP TFW Integration Proposal - HQ will fund at the \$25,000 level  
...  
**Importance:** High

Erica et al:

I left Erica a voice mail about this – the TFW team at HQ has decided to fund the Santa Monica Bay proposal at the **\$25k** level as opposed to the requested \$45k level. We saw that your proposal said the project was scalable, so we assume that this level of funding would be accepted by SMBEP (just FYI, no single project is being funded as high as \$45k).

We got proposals for far more dollars than we had available – most proposals are not being funded at all. So \$25k is the best we could do.

The only thing I need from you now is either a resubmission of the original proposal or, if it is easier, a separate brief write-up explaining what the \$20k reduction does to the original proposal in terms of what can be carried out. I just need that for my record so we know exactly what is being done with the smaller amount of funds. Just try to send that sometime this week – I do not have to wait until I get it to make my reprogramming request since I know the altered proposal will not be fundamentally different than the original proposal (i.e. it will just be scaled down, not read like a different project).

Let me know if you have any questions or comments. Thanks.

Romell

(202) 566-1203